LLG Assessment 2026

LLG Assessment 2026

Buliisa Subcounty

(Vote Code: 237280)

Score 62/100 *(62%)*

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	• Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by: (i) appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds; and (ii) monitoring and supervision of PDM investments; to ensure effective utilization of PDM funds by beneficiaries; during the previous FY for all parishes; score 2, else score 0.	2	PDM guidelines issued by Ministry of Local Government in February 2022 and signed by the President of the republic of Uganda were in place for all the parishes. Parish Development Committee for all the parishes were constituted as per page 30 of the PDM implementation guidelines and membership in all the parishes was as follows; 1. Chairpersons LCII, 2. Parish Chiefs, 3. Chairperson women councils, 4. Chairperson Disability councils, 5. Chairperson youth Councils, 6. Chairperson NRM at parish, 7. Elders/Opinion leaders. In all the Parishes, PDCs were fully instituted and list of PDC members for each ward in the LLG were seen as follows; • Kigoya Parish dated 20/11/2026 with Ntarwente Wantumbu as the PDC Chairperson. • Kakoora Parish dated 07/11/2025 with Katyere Godfrey as the PDC Chairperson. • Nyamitete Parish dated 17/09/2026 with Okechi Claver as PDC Chairperson Minutes of PDC sittings were in place for; • Kigoya Parish dated 19/12/2025, 02/03/2026 and 17/06/2025. • Kakoora parish dated 18/11/2025, 10/03/2026 • Nyamitete parish dated 27/08/2025, 27/11/2025 and 03/02/2026. • Minutes were confirmed by PDC Chairpersons and with attendance lists attached. List of proposals submitted for the revolving funds were seen for; • Nyamitete Parish dated 27/06/2026 • Kakoora Parish dated 08/12/2025

				• Kigoya Parish dated 30/05/2026. Monitoring and Supervision reports on implementation of PDM activities seen for; • Nyamitete Parish dated 28/08/2025, 28/11/2025 and 05/02/2026 with an acknowledgement of receipt stamp by the Office of the LLG Council Speaker. • Kigoya Parish dated 22/12/2025, 18/6/2025 and 05/03/2026 with an acknowledgement of receipt stamp by the Office of the LLG Council Speaker • Kakoora Parish dated 23/11/2025 and 12/03/2026 with an acknowledgement of receipt stamp by the Office of the LLG Council Speaker. Therefore the LLG had duly constituted and functional PDCs in all its Parishes.
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	2	SPEAR data reports were seen for all Parishes as follows; Kigoya Parish dated 07/11/2025 Nyamitete Parish dated 14/11/2025. Kakoora Parish dated 13/11/2025. Parish data reports seen for all wards as follows; Kigoya Parish dated 10/03/2026. Nyamitete Parish dated 28/5/2026. Kakoora Parish dated 30/10/2025. The LLG compiled, updated and analyzed data on community profiling
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	0	NGOs, CBOs & CSO mapping report was seen dated 27/06/2026 with Kakindo Women Integrated Development Agency (KAWIDA), Buliisa Initiative for Rural Development Organization(BIRUDO) and Lake Albert Children and Women Advocacy Development Organization (LACWADO) as NGOs. Bagungu Community Association (BACA) and Buliisa Union of Person with Disabilities (BUPACD) as CBOs. However, there was no evidence that the LLG conducted awareness about PDM and the Planning Cycle.

	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	0	There was no evidence to show that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive committees and PDCs on approved programs/activities to be implemented within the parish for the current FY
	Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0	2	Lists of parish priority enterprises seen as follows; • Kigoya Parish dated 22/05/2025 backed up by minutes of consultative meeting held on 22/05/2025 with attendances attached. • Kakoora Parish dated 08/11/2025 backed up by minutes of consultative meeting held on 08/11/2025 with attendances attached. • Nyamitete Parish dated 08/11/2025 backed up by minutes of consultative meeting held on 13/11/2025 with attendances attached.

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan IV; score 1 or else 0	1	Planning and Budgeting guidelines, Sub County approved Development plan IV and Approved Annual work plan and Budget for FY 2026/27 were all seen. Investment Projects in the AWPB were; maintenance of roads, Opening and periodic maintenance of roads, and construction of two stance VIP latrine on pages 11 and 12. The investment projects were seen in the Council approved Development plan IV on page 61 and 47, therefore investments in the LLG AWP&B for the current FY were consistent with the approved development plan IV.

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson; score 1 or else 0.	1	Reports of ranked parish priorities were seen as follows; Kigoya parish report dated 10/9/2025,on page 3 with Investment project like manual maintenance of roads, Nyamittete parish report dated 17/09/2025, with investment project like installation of culverts on road Bugana report dated 16/9/2025 with investment project like construction of two stance Vip latrine and opening of roads. Kakoora parish report. dated 25/9/2025 with investment project like construction of latrines All ranked priorities submissions were signed by the respective Town Agents and PDC Chairpersons. Therefore, AWPB incorporated parish priorities
iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0	1	The investment priorities (maintenance of urban roads, installation of culverts, construction of two stance VIP latrine),These were seen in the Budget Conference report dated 16/10/2025on page 4,3, &10. Hence the approved investments were outcomes of the Budget Conference.
iv. That the LLG budget includes investments to be financed by the LLG including projects funded using OSR; score 1, or else score 0.	1	the LLG budget includes investments to be financed by the LLG like maintenance of urban roads, installation of culverts, construction of two stance VIP latrine.
v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP IV; score 1, or else score 0.	1	Project Profiles were developed for investment projects like (installation of culverts and ,construction of two stance VIP latrine) .

		vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May; score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG AWPB for the current FY was submitted and received by the District central registry on 13/04/2026 as per central registry acknowledgement of receipt stamp.
5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	2	LLG procurement plans were submitted and received by PDU on 29/04/2026 as per acknowledgement of receipt stamp.
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	2	Investments in the approved LLG DDEG Budget for the current FY were procurement of computer, construction of two stance Vip latrine, investment servicing and monitoring, Support to parish activities including data collection and support to LLG nutrition committee. All investmenst complied with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines.

Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget; score 1 or else score 0.	1	From the AFS for FY 2025/2026 on page 35, OSR budget was 26,127,411 Actual OSR collected was 25,556,000 on page 35 OF AFS 2025/2026 FY. Therefore (Actual OSR/ OSR budgeted) *100% is (25,556,000 / 26,127,411) x100% = 98% Meaning the LLG OSR performance was less by 2% which was within -/+10%.

8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	0	From AFS for FY 2024/2025 on page 35, OSR collected was 28,019,005 from AFS of 2025/26 OSR collected was 25,255,000. Increase in the OSR collection was (25,255,000-28,019,005) = -2,764,005 Percentage decrease was (2,764,005/28,019,005) x 100%) which was 9.8%. The OSR collected decreased from previous FY but one to previous FY by 9.8%.
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	0	OSR collected for FY 2025/26 on page 35 was 25,556,000 30% mandatory share of OSR to administrative units was (30% x 25,556,000) =7,666,800 The LLG remitted a minimum of 3,400,000 as per AFS 2025/26 FY on Page 26. Hence the LLG did not remit mandatory share of OSR to its administrative units.
		ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR (that was remitted to the LLG by the HLG) on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	1	The LLG OSR collected for 2025/26 was 25,255,000 20%of 25,255,000) = 5,051,000 Evidence of Payment to councillors' allowances was on following voucher no 13/5/26 amounting to 4,200,000, hence below 20%.
		iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	1	From AFS 2025/26 on page 48 Expenditure on O&M was 13,416,000 Percentage expenditure on O&M Was (13,416,000/25,255,000) x100%=53%, Therefore, LLG budgeted and spent 53% on O&M.
		iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	1	OSR collection and Usage was publicized on the LLG notice board

Assessment area: D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e. by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	4	AFS 2025/26 was submitted to OAG Hoima branch and received on 31/08/2026 as per the acknowledgement of receipt stamp.
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer on time: i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	1	Q1 report submitted to the LG Accounting Officer on the 08/10/2025
		ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	1	Q2 report submitted to the LG Accounting Officer on the 09/01/26
		iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	1	Q3 report submitted to the LG Accounting Officer on the 14/4/2026
		iv. Q4 by 15th July score 3 or else 0	3	Q4 report submitted to the LG Accounting Officer on the 08/7/2026

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: • All staff in the LLG including extension workers (where applicable) in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	0	The LLG Staff were appraised during the previous FY as follows; 1. Birahwa Bright parish chief appraisal report was not seen. 2. Agaba Godfrey Parish Chief was appraised on 30/6/2026. 3. Katusabe Rogers Community Development Officer was appraised on 26/6/2026. 4. Ayesiga Darlian Agricultural Officer was appraised on 28/6/2026 5. Ayebale Scovia Assistant Veterinary officer was appraised on 29/6/2026. 6. Namirimu Sarah fisheries Officer was appraised on 30/6/2026. 7. Abalo Poline Parish Chief appraisal report was not seen. It was observed that not all the LLG staffs were appraised in the last FY.

		• Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	0	The LLG had six public primary schools namely; Kabolwa Primary school, Nyamitete primary School, Bugana Primary School, Kakoora primary school, Waiga II Primary School and Kijangi Primary School. Appraisal reports were seen for only Waiga II headteacher and Kijangi headteacher. The Headteacher Waiga II P/s Mr. Wabyona Ronald was appraised late on 6/2/2026 while Ocaya Nelson of Kijangi P/S was also appraised late on 23/1/2026. Therefore, not all the Headteachers in public primary schools were appraised in the previous calendar year (by 31st December 2025).
		• HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else 0.	2	Muhangi Denes the Incharge Bugana HC III was appraised on 30/6/2026.
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has • Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 2 or else 0	2	The list of all the LLG staff was publicized on the LLG Noticeboard.

• Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO as follows; • Staff analysis for July 2025 submitted on the 25/7/202531/7/2025 • Staff analysis for August 2025 submitted on the 29/8/2025 • Staff analysis for September 2025 submitted on the 30/9/2025 • Staff analysis for October 2025 submitted on the 31/10/2025 • Staff analysis for November 2025 submitted on the 20/10/2025 • Staff analysis for December 2025 submitted on the 29/12/2025 • Staff analysis for January 2026 submitted on the 29/1/2026 • Staff analysis for February 2026 submitted on the 27/2/2026 • Staff analysis for March 2026 submitted on the 30/3/2026 • Staff analysis for April 2026 submitted on the 27/4/2026 • Staff analysis for May 2026 submitted on the 27/5/2026 • Staff analysis for June 202 submitted on the 26/6/2026
• CAO/Tc took action on recommendations; score 2 or else 0	0	There was no evidence to ascertain if CAO took action on the recommendations of Staff analysis to attendance reports.

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects and activities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects and activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	2	• LLG Approved Annual Budget and Work Plan seen with a total DDEG Budget of 29,352,728. • DDEG grant, Budget and implementation guidelines were seen and in place. • The LLG planned to execute the following projects and activities using DDEG grants in the Previous FY. • Infrastructure investments (Procurement of furniture (Plastic chairs), Laptop and Titling of Waiga & Uriibo Market land at 23,482,182 (80%) • Support to Parish activities at 2,348,218 (8%) • Investment servicing and monitoring at 2,935,272 (10%). • Support to Nutrition Committee at 587,054 (2%). • Expenditure Vouchers; 01/04/2026 (23,482,182) for Land Titling, 12/12/2025 (2,348,000) for support to Parish chiefs (data collection) and 15/05/2026 (2,935,272) for Monitoring of government programs and projects. • Therefore, the LLG planned and spent DDEG on eligible projects and activities as per DDEG grant Budget and implementation guidelines.
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2 or else 0	2	Page 13 of Annual Financial Statements submitted to OAG Hoima Branch on 31/08/2026 indicated that there was a deviation of 0% in all main programs as follows; The LLG under Community Mobilization and mindset change as a program planned for 880,000 and spent 880,000 with a percentage deviation of 0%. The LLG under Governance and security planned for 67,992,000 and spent 67,992,000 with a percentage deviation of 0%. The LLG under Legislation, oversight planned for 10,595,000 and spent 10,595,000 with a percentage deviation of 0%.

16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) : Note 3: Refer to the completion rate computation table at the end of the Manual If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	3	All the Planned investment projects were completed as per the work plan by the end of the FY for example Land Titles seen referenced LAF:BDLO/LAF/APRIL/4080 and LAF:BDLO/LAF/APRIL/4081 Furniture (plastic chairs) procured as evidenced by the Delivery Note dated17/11/2025 Signed by Mpairwe Philkol (Supplier) and Tumusiime Gilbert (Sub Accountant). These investments were also seen on page 5 of the Annual Financial Statements submitted to OAG on the 31/8/2026 as complete.

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented Environmental and Social Safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	0	Environmental and Social Screening forms for works (Bategana – Kickoke road) was not done.
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling Mechanism Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has specified a mechanism for i) recording, ii) investigating and iii) responding to grievances which includes a designated person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints logbook with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices Score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG appointed focal person (Katusabe Rogers the CDO) for grievance management dated 04/04/2026. The LLG also had a list of Staff dated 29/05/2026 constituting the Grievance Management Committee with Katusabe Rogers as the Secretary, Kabagambe Edward as the Chairperson and Birawa Bright as a member. Complaints logbook was seen with 05 cases recorded for the FY.
		If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG Publicized the Grievance Redress mechanism on the LLG to guide the aggrieved parties on where to report and get redress.

19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	0	Appointment letters for members of the Area Land Committee seen dated 01/07/2025 with Byaruhanga Geofrey as the Chairperson, Ayebale Grace and Kibaro John as members. Minutes of Area Land Committee not seen at the time of assessment therefore hard to ascertain functionality.

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	0	There was no evidence to show that the LLG conducted awareness campaign and parents' mobilization for improvement of education service delivery last FY.
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools (both public and private) at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 – 99% – score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1 Below 60% score 0	0	The LLG monitored schools for improved education service delivery as per the following reports; • Third term monitoring report dated 5/12/2025. • First term monitoring report dated 4/3/2026. • Second term monitoring report dated 28/5/2026. There was no evidence to show that the Monitoring report were discussed by Executive Committee.

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	0	Minutes of School management Committees were as follows; • Nyamitete P/s dated 8/6/2026 for term II of 2026. • Kijangi P/s dated 4/10/2025 for Term III of 2025. • Kakoora P/s dated10/6/2026 for Term II of 2026. • Waiga II dated 4/3/2026 for term I of 2026. • Kabolwa Primary school not seen • Bugana Primary school not seen. Minutes of SMCs were not submitted to DEO's Office.

Assessment area: I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	Awareness campaigns and mobilization for improvement of primary health care were conducted in January 2026, October 2025 and July 2025.
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY Maximum score is 4	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	0	The LLG monitored issues of Health service delivery as per the reports dated 8/8/2025 authored by Sub-County Chief Kabagambe Edward and 3/3/2026. However there was no evidence to show that the monitoring reports were discussed by the LLG Executive Committee.

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	3	Appointments of HUMC for Bugana HC III were seen dated 1/7/2025 referenced BULSC/ADMIN/01 with; • Byaruhanga George as the Chairperson. • Oketch Major Rogers as member. • Okunye Benson as Member. • Alinaitwe Joviaas member. • Odoi Godfrey member. Health Unit Management Committee quarterly minutes seen dated 18/09/2025 for quarter one, 16/12/2025 for quarter two, 3/03/2026 for quarter three and 25/6/2026 for quarter four. Minutes were submitted to DHO's office as per acknowledgment of receipt stamp.

Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	3	The LLG submitted request in writing to the DWO for consideration in the Planning of the current FY as per letter dated 22/4/2025 and received by the DWO on the 24th April, 2025.
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	0	Water and environmental issues were not monitored or supervised on a quarterly basis during the Financial Year; this was only done in the third quarter, on March 25, 2026.

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	0	There was no evidence to show that the LLG had functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions).
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated list on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	2	The updated list of water and sanitation facilities was seen in the reports dated 19/6/2026 and 12/6/2026 by Katusabe Rogers Community Development Officer.

Assessment area: L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e. crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office; score 2 or else 0.	2	Production statistics reports were seen for; • Crop dated 27/4/2026. • Fisheries dated 28/10/2025. • Veterinary dated 30/4/2026 Reports were submitted and received by DPO’s office.
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer exchange visits as per reports seen for; • Crop dated 25/5/2026. • Fisheries dated 19/5/2026. • Veterinary dated 26/6/2026. All reports were submitted and received by District Production office.

36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office; score 2 or else 0	0	The LLG extension staff implemented monitoring activities on agriculture production for crops animals and fisheries as follows; Veterinary Q1 dated 30/9/2025 Q3 dated 28/1/2026 Q4 dated 2/5/2026 The LLG staff did not implement monitoring activities in the second quarter last FY. Fisheries Q1 dated 29/9/2025 Q2 dated 24/11/2025 Q4 dated 20/5/2026 The LLG staff did not implement monitoring activities in the third quarter last FY. Crop Q4 dated 29/6/2026 The LLG staff implemented monitoring activities only once during quarter four FY.
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	The LLG carried out farmer trainings on pest and diseases management, irrigated agriculture and operation and maintenance of equipment through field training sessions as evidenced in the following training reports; • Crop dated 16/6/2026 • Veterinary dated 28/1/2026 • Fisheries dated 6/6/2026 and 20/11/2025 All reports submitted and received by the LG production office.

38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	0	The LLG extension staff provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, animal husbandry, irrigation, operation and maintenance, postharvest handling, value addition and marketing through farmer visits as evidenced in the following farmer visits reports; • Veterinary dated 26/6/2026 • Fisheries dated 19/11/2025 and 13/11/2025. Field report for crop sector was not seen. All reports seen were submitted and received by the LG production office.