

LLG Assessment 2026

LLG Assessment 2026

Butiaba Subcounty

(Vote Code: 237279)

Score 90/100 *(90%)*

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	• Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by: (i) appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds; and (ii) monitoring and supervision of PDM investments; to ensure effective utilization of PDM funds by beneficiaries; during the previous FY for all parishes; score 2, else score 0.	2	PDM guidelines issued by Ministry of Local Government in February 2022 and signed by the President of the republic of Uganda were in place for all the parishes. Parish Development Committee for all the parishes were constituted as per page 30 of the PDM implementation guidelines and membership in all the parishes was as follows; 1. Chairpersons LCII, 2. Parish Chiefs, 3. Chairperson women councils, 4. Chairperson Disability councils, 5. Chairperson youth Councils, 6. Chairperson NRM at parish, 7. Elders/Opinion leaders. In all the Parishes, PDCs were fully instituted and list of PDC members for each ward in the LLG were seen as follows; • Bugoigo Parish dated 06/05/2026 with Katusabe Dan as the PDC Chairperson. • Walukuba Parish dated 17/08/2026 with Owechi Denis as the PDC Chairperson. Minutes of PDC sittings were in place for; • Bugoigo Parish dated 02/10/2025, 17/03/2026 and 07/01/2026. • Walukuba parish dated 08/10/2025, 17/09/2025 and 12/5/2026 with Aliker Jackwonica and Okello Charles as members. • Minutes were confirmed by PDC Chairpersons and with attendance lists attached. List of proposals submitted for the revolving funds were seen for;

				• Bugoigo Parish dated 10/11/2025 • Walukuba Parish dated 25/02/2026. Monitoring and Supervision reports on implementation of PDM activities seen for; • Bugoigo Parish dated 02/10/2025, 08/01/2026 and 30/06/2026 with an acknowledgement of receipt stamp by the Office of the LLG Council Speaker Hon. Yallo John. • Walukuba Parish dated 24/09/2025, 17/06/2026 and 15/10/2025 with an acknowledgement of receipt stamp by the Office of the LLG Council Speaker Hon. Yallo John. The LLG conducted activities of mobilization of beneficiaries for Bugoigo parish and Walukuba parish as per reports dated 07/09/2025 and 22/11/2025 respectively.
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	2	SPEAR data reports were seen for all Parishes as follows; Bugoigo Parish dated 29/06/2026 Walukuba Parish dated 18/11/2025. Disaggregated Parish data reports seen for all wards as follows; Bugoigo Parish dated 29/05/2026. Walukuba Parish dated 29/05/2026.

3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	2	NGOs, CBOs & CSO mapping report was seen dated 14/04/2026 with Action for Health Uganda as an NGO, Bagungu Community Association (BACA) as a CBO and Lake Albert Children and women Advocacy Organization as a CSO. The LLG involved Action for Health Uganda to raise awareness on PDM and the Planning Cycle as seen in a report dated 12/05/2026.
		Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	2	LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive committees and PDCs on approved programs/activities to be implemented within the parish for the current FY through a circular by the Sub County Chief communicating incorporated parish activities/programmes into the approved annual Budget and work plan for different wards as follows; • Bugoigo Parish dated 30/06/2026. • Walukuba Parish dated 30/06/2026.
		Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0	2	Lists of parish priority enterprises seen as follows; • Bugoigo Parish dated 06/08/2025 backed up by minutes of consultative meeting held on 26/8/2025 with Aduba Godfrey, Saida Hellen and Asiimwe Dismus in attendances attached. • Walukuba Parish dated 27/06/2025 backed up by minutes of consultative meeting held on 27/06/2026 with Bedijo Francis, Emmanuel Ochai and Ekul Susan attendances.

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan IV; score 1 or else 0	1	Sub county approved Development plan IV and Approved Annual work plan and Budget for FY 2026/27 were seen. Investment Projects in the AWPB on pages 5 and 13 were; maintenance of roads, Opening and periodic maintenance of roads, and construction of two stance VIP latrine The investment projects were seen in the Council Approved Development plan IV on page 51, therefore, investments in the LLG approved AWPB for the current FY were consistent with the LLG approved development plan IV.
		ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson; score 1 or else 0.	1	Reports on ranked parish priorities seen as follows; Bugoigo parish report dated 18/9/2025, on page 3 with investment projects like manual maintenance of roads and construction of two stance VIP latrine. Walukuba parish report dated 24th/10/2025, with investment projects like; opening of kisinja BHC road and construction of public toilets. All ranked priorities submissions were signed by the respective Town Agents and PDC Chairpersons. Therefore, AWPB incorporated priorities from all the parishes.
		iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0	1	The prioritized investments in the AWPB included (maintenance of urban roads, construction of two stance VIP latrine), These were seen in the Budget Conference report dated 29/10/2025.on page 4 and 3,Hence the approved investments were outcomes of the Budget Conference.

		iv. That the LLG budget includes investments to be financed by the LLG including projects funded using OSR; score 1, or else score 0.	1	Investment projects in the LLG AWPB were procurement of solar battery ,opening of community roads, construction of two stance VIP latrine all are to be financed by the LLG including projects funded using OSR like procurement of solar battery.
		v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP IV; score 1, or else score 0.	1	Project Profiles were developed for (opening of roads and construction of two stance VIP latrine)
		vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May; score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG AWPB for the current FY was submitted and received by the District central registry on 27/04/2026 as per central registry acknowledgement of receipt stamp.
5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	2	LLG procurement plan submitted and received by PDU on 27/04/2026. as per acknowledgement receipt stamp
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	2	Investments in the approved LLG DDEG Budget for the current FY, construction of two stance VIP latrine monitoring, data collection and support to nutrition committee which complied with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines.

Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget; score 1 or else score 0.	0	From the AFS for FY 2025/2026 on page 34, OSR budget was 103,446,200. Actual OSR collected was 79,112,052 on AFS page 34. Therefore, (Actual OSR/ OSR budgeted) *100% was (79,112,052/ 103,446,200 x100%) = 76% Meaning the LLG OSR performance was less by 24% which was not within the -/+10%.
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	1	OSR collected from AFS of 2024/25 on page 34 was 73,052,228 OSR from AFS of 2025/26 on page 34 was 79,112,052. Increase in the OSR collection was (79,112,052-73,052,228)= 6,059,824 Percentage increase was (6,059,824/73,052,228) x 100%) which was 8%. The OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by 8%.
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	0	From AFS of FY 2025/26 on page 46 OSR was 79,112,052 Mandatory share of OSR to the administrative units was 25% of 79,112,052 = 19,778,013. Evidence of remmition of mandatory share to the LLG administrative units was seen on voucher number 14/6/26 amounting to 15,592,700/=. It was observed that the LLG didnt remit mandatory share to its administrative units.

		ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR (that was remitted to the LLG by the HLG) on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	1	The LLG OSR for 2025/26 was 79,112,052 20% of 79,112,052) =15,822,410 Evidence of Payment to councillors' allowances was as follows; Vr. No: 4//3/26 2,028,000 Vr. No: 7/2/2026 2,028,000 Vr. No. 19/11/2025 2,028,000 Vr. No. 21/9/2025 2,028,000 Vr. No 9/01/2026 2,028,000 Total 10,140,000, hence below 20%.
		iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	1	From AFS page 46 indicated Expenditure on O&M of 18,205,500 Percentage expenditure on O&M was (18,205,500/79,112,052) x100% =23% The LLG budgeted and spent 23% on O&M.
		iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	1	OSR collection and Usage was publicized on the LLG notice board.
Assessment area: D. Financial Management				
10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e. by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	4	AFS of 2025/26 was submitted to AG Hoima branch and received on 20/07/2026 as per the acknowledgement of receipt stamp.
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer on time: i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	1	Q1 report submitted to the LG Accounting on the 13/10/2025.

Maximum score is 6	ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	1	Q2 report submitted to the LG Accounting on the 06/01/2026.
	iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	1	Q3 report submitted to the LG Accounting on the 13/4/2026
	iv. Q4 by 15th July score 3 or else 0	3	Q4 report submitted to the LG Accounting on the 14/7/2026.

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12			
Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: • All staff in the LLG including extension workers (where applicable) in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	2	All the LLG Staff were appraised by 30th June during the previous FY as follows; • Odong Vincent, Assistant Veterinary Officer was appraised on 30/6/2026. • Kusemererwa Florence, Community Development Officer was appraised on 23/6/3036. • Akello Polly Fisheries Officer, was appraised on 23/6/2026. • Thopaco Samuel, Parish chief was appraised on26/6/2026. • Asiimwe Rogers, Parish chief was appraised on26/6/2026. • Kyomya Maureen, Senior Assistant Accountant was appraised on 29/6/2026.
	• Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	2	Primary school head teachers in public primary schools were appraised by 31st December 2025 as follows; • Babihemaiso George Walukuba headteacher primary was appraised on 3/12/2025. • Besigaki Rogers headteacher Bugoigo primary School was appraised on 08/12/2025. • Balikenda Nestur headteacher Nyamukuta primary was appraised on 11/12/2025.

		• HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else 0.	2	Mutegeki Julius the in- charge Bugoigo HC II was appraised on the 25/6/2026.
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has • Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 2 or else 0	2	The list of all the LLG staff was publicized on its Noticeboard.
		• Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO as follows; • Staff analysis for July 2025 submitted on the 31/7/2025 • Staff analysis for August 2025 submitted on the 29/8/2025 • Staff analysis for September 2025 submitted on the 1/10/2024 • Staff analysis for October 2025 submitted on the 31/10/2025 • Staff analysis for November 2025 submitted on the 28/11/2025 • Staff analysis for December 2025 submitted on the 31/12/2025 • Staff analysis for January 2026 submitted on the 30/1/2026 • Staff analysis for February 2026 submitted on the 27/2/2026 • Staff analysis for March 2026 submitted on the 31/3/2026 • Staff analysis for April 2026 submitted on the 30/4/2026 • Staff analysis for May 2026 submitted on the 29/5/2026 • Staff analysis for June 2026 submitted on the 30/6/2026
		• CAO/Tc took action on recommendations; score 2 or else 0	0	There was no evidence to show that CAO took action on the recommendations.

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects and activities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects and activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	2	• LLG Approved Annual Budget and Work Plan seen with a total DDEG Budget of 19,705,779. • DDEG grant, Budget and implementation guidelines were seen and in place. • The LLG planned to execute the following projects and activities using DDEG grants in the Previous FY. • Infrastructure investments (2 Stance VIP Latrine) at 15,764,623 (80%) on expenditure voucher number 2/6/26 amounting to 15,764,000 • Support to Parish activities at 1,576,462 (8%) • Investment servicing and monitoring at 1,970,578 (10%). • Support to Nutrition Committee at 394,116 (2%) on expenditure Voucher Number 2/6/26 amounting to 394,000 • Therefore, the LLG planned and spent DDEG on eligible projects and activities as per DDEG grant Budget and implementation guidelines.
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2 or else 0	0	Page 13 of Annual Financial Statements submitted to OAG Hoima Branch on 20/07/2026 indicated that there was a deviation of morethan +/-10% in some of the main programs as seen below. The LLG under Community Mobilization and mindset change planned for 37,846,492 and spent 30,080,000 with a deviation of 20% The LLG under Governance and security budgeted/ planned for 202,140,000 and spent 85,235,000 with a deviation of 58% The LLG under Integrated Transport Infrastructure and services planned/budgeted for 15,764,000 and spent 14,960,000 with a deviation of 5%.

16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) : Note 3: Refer to the completion rate computation table at the end of the Manual If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	3	All the Planned investment projects were completed as per the work plan by the end of the FY for example a practical completion certificate for a 2 stance VIP Latrine at Kamagongoro was seen dated 24/07/2026 These investments were also seen on page 5 of the Annual Financial Statements submitted to OAG on the 20/7/2026.

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented Environmental and Social Safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	2	The LLG Conducted Environmental and Social Screening of a two Stance VIP Latrine that was constructed at Kamagongolo seen dated 07/05/2026 authored by the District Environment Officer Mr. Tumusiime Rogers.
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling Mechanism Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has specified a mechanism for i) recording, ii) investigating and iii) responding to grievances which includes a designated person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints logbook with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices Score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG appointed focal person (Kusemererwa Florence) for grievance management dated 05/04/2026. The LLG also had a list of Staff dated 17/5/2026 constituting the Grievance Management Committee with Kusemererwa Florence as the Secretary, Ndozereho Robert as the Chairperson and Asiimwe Rogers as a member. Complaints logbook was seen with 09 cases recorded for the FY.
		If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG Publicized the Grievance Redress mechanism on the LLG to guide the aggrieved parties on where to report and get redress.

19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	1	Appointment letters for members of the Area Land Committee seen dated 30/5/2025 with Okethi Justine as the Chairperson, Odaga Gasto and Kasangaki Mulega as members. Minutes of Area Land Committee were seen dated 13/07/2025, 04/04/2026 and 03/12/2025. Hence the LLG had a fully constituted and functional Area Land Committee.

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	The LLG conducted awareness campaigns and parents' mobilization for improvement of education service delivery as per reports seen dated 4/03/2026 and 5/5/2026.
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools (both public and private) at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 – 99% – score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1 Below 60% score 0	4	The Sub County Chief monitored schools (both private and public) in the previous three terms evidenced in the schools monitoring reports dated; 20/10/2025 for third term, 20/5/2026 for second term and17/03/2026 for first term. List of issues in the monitoring reports were discussed in the council dated; 10/4/2026 discussed first and third term 2025 monitoring reports under Minute 89/10/04/2026 and 03/06/2026 discussed second term school monitoring report under Minute Number 97/C/6/2026.

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	3	Appointments letters of school management committee for all schools in the LLG seen dated 01/07/2025. Minutes of School Management Committee seen as follows; • Bugoigo p/s dated; 16/10/2025, 17/10/1025, 24/2/2026 and 12/6/2026 submitted and received by DEOs office as per acknowledgement of receipt stamp. • Nyamukuta p/s; dated 30/10/2025,10/3/2026 and 12/6/2026 submitted and received by DEOs office as per acknowledgement of receipt stamp. • Walukuba P/s; 1/10/2025, 24/2/2026 and 16/6/2026 submitted and received by DEOs office as per acknowledgement of receipt stamp. All minutes had action plans and implementation reports.

Assessment area: I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	Reports on awareness campaigns and community mobilization for improvement of primary health care seen dated 16/6/2026, 29/06/2026 and 25/8/2026.
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY Maximum score is 4	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	4	The LLG monitored issues of Health service delivery as per the reports dated 19/3/2026 and 5/5/2026. Reports were discussed in the LLG Executive meetings held on 30/6/2026 under Minute Number 30/6/2026.

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	3	Composition of health Unit Management committee for Bugoigo Health Centre II seen dated 23/01/2025 referenced BSC/ADMIN/11/06. Health Unit Management Committee quarterly minutes seen dated 17/07/2025 for quarter one, 10/12/2025 for quarter two, 25/03/2026 for quarter three and 16/5/2026 for quarter four. Minutes were submitted to DHO’s office as per acknowledgment of receipt stamp.

Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	3	The LLG submitted request in writing to the DWO for consideration in the Planning of the current FY as per letter dated 14/04/2025 and received by the DWO on the 28th April, 2025.
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	3	Monitoring report on water and sanitation facilities for all the four quarters were seen as dated below; 28/8/2025 for quarter one ,12/12/2025 for quarter two 24/3/2026 for quarter three and 15/4/2026 for quarter four.

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	0	There was no evidence to show that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions).
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated list on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	2	List of water facilities seen on a report dated 12/12/2025 with Nyamukuta Borehole, Sonsio Public Latrine, Kisinja Public Latrine, Kisinja Borehole among others.

Assessment area: L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e. crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office; score 2 or else 0.	2	The LLG had two functional sectors of Veterinary and fisheries because there are no much crop activities in the LLG. Production statistics report for fisheries seen dated 25/1/2025 and Animals dated 30/4/2026. Reports were submitted and received by DPO’s office.
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer exchange visits as per reports seen for; • Fisheries dated 30/4/2026 • Veterinary dated 30/9/2025 All reports were submitted and received by LG Production Office.

36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office; score 2 or else 0	0	Quarterly monitoring and supervision reports for fisheries and veterinary were seen dated as follows; Veterinary • Q1 dated 30/9/2025 • Q3 dated 30/1/2026 • Q4 dated 29/5/2026 There was no evidence to show that the extension staff implemented monitoring activities on animal during quarter two of last FY. Fisheries • Q1dated 1/8/2025 • Q2 dated 28/11/2025 • Q3 dated18/3/2026 • Q4 dated 30/5/2026 All reports were submitted and received by the LG Production office.
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	The LLG carried out farmer trainings on pest and diseases management, irrigated agriculture and operation and maintenance of equipment through field training sessions as evidenced in the following training reports. Fisheries dated 10/2/2026 and Veterinary dated 30/4/2026. All reports were submitted and received by LG Production Office.

38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG extension staff provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, animal husbandry, irrigation, operation and maintenance, postharvest handling, value addition and marketing through farmer visits as evidenced in the following farmer visits reports; • Fisheries dated 30/10/2025. • Veterinary seen dated 30/12/2025. Reports submitted and received by the LG production office.