

LLG Assessment 2026

LLG Assessment 2026

Wanseko Town Council

(Vote Code: 273288)

Score 83/100 *(83%)*

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	• Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by: (i) appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds; and (ii) monitoring and supervision of PDM investments; to ensure effective utilization of PDM funds by beneficiaries; during the previous FY for all parishes; score 2, else score 0.	2	PDM guidelines issued by Ministry of Local Government in February 2022 and signed by the President of the republic of Uganda were in place for all the wards. Ward Development Committee for all the wards were constituted as per page 30 of the PDM implementation guidelines and membership in all the wards was as follows; 1. Chairpersons LCII, 2. Parish Chiefs, 3. Chairperson women councils, 4. Chairperson Disability councils, 5. Chairperson youth Councils, 6. Chairperson NRM at parish, 7. Elders/Opinion leaders. In all the wards, WDCs were fully instituted and list of WDC members for each ward in the LLG were seen as follows; • Wanseko ward dated 12/05/2026 with Muhangi Denis as the WDC Chairperson. • Ndandamire ward dated 22/06/2026 with Tumusiime Nobert as the WDC Minutes of WDC sittings were in place for; • Wanseko ward dated 10/6/2026, 18/03/2026 and 24/10/2025 • Ndandamire ward dated 09/6/2026, 09/12/2025 and 09/09/2025. Minutes were confirmed by the WDC Chairpersons and had attendance lists. List of proposals submitted for the revolving funds were seen for; • Wanseko ward dated 17/02/2026. • Ndandamire ward dated 15/05/2026. Monitoring and Supervision reports on implementation of PDM activities seen for;

				• Wanseko ward dated 11/06/2026, 19/03/2026 and 24/10/2025 with an acknowledgement of receipt stamp by the Office of the LLG Council Speaker Hon. Tumusiime Richard. • Ndandamire ward dated 09/12/2025, 13/03/2026 and 09/09/2025 with an acknowledgement of receipt stamp by the Office of the LLG Council Speaker Hon. Tumusiime Richard. Therefore, the LLG had duly constituted and functional WDCs in all the wards.
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	2	SPEAR data reports were seen for all wards as follows; Wanseko ward dated 02/02/2026. Ndandamire ward dated 19/02/2026. Ward data reports seen for all wards as follows; Wanseko ward dated 19/02/2026. Ndandamire ward dated 22/02/2026.
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	2	NGOs, CBOs&CSO mapping report was seen dated 20/8/2025 with Kakindo Women Integrated Development Agency (KAWIDA), Hoima Caritas Development Organization (HOCADEO) and Community Integrated Development Initiative (CIDI) as NGOs. The LLG involved HOCADEO&KAWIDA in creating awareness about PDM and the Planning Cycle in a report dated 18/09/2026. Mugume Macris and Asaba Harrison were the representatives of KAWIDA in the meeting.

	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	2	LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive committees and PDCs on approved programs/activities to be implemented within the parish for the current FY through a circular by the Town Clerk communicating incorporated parish activities/programmes into the approved annual Budget and work plan for different wards as follows; • Wanseko ward dated 17/10/2026. • Ndandamire ward dated 16/10/2025.
	Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0	2	Lists of parish priority enterprises seen as follows; Ndandamire ward dated 17/07/2025 Wanseko ward dated 16/04/2026 All submitted priority enterprises were backed up by reports of consultative meetings for enterprise selection dated 11/07/2025 and 13/04/2026 for Ndandamire and Wanseko respectively with Mugisa Patrick, Tugume Kerizon and Aheebwa Robin.

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

4 The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan IV; score 1 or else 0	1	Town council approved Development plan IV and Approved Annual work plan and Budget for FY 2026/27 were seen. Investment Projects in the AWPB were; maintenance of urban roads, Opening and periodic maintenance of roads, procurement of motorcycle on pages 38, and 12. The projects were seen in the Town Council approved Development plan IV on pages 96 & 94 therefore, investments in the LLG approved AWPB for the current FY were consistent with the LLG approved development plan IV.

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson; score 1 or else 0.	1	Reports of Ward ranked priorities seen as follows; Ndandamire ward report dated 25/9/2025, with investment project like maintenance of roads. Wanseko ward report dated 26/9/2025, with investment projects like procurement of motorcycle, procurement of protective gears and 200ft 7inch gill nets. All ranked priorities submissions were signed by the respective Town Agents and WDC Chairpersons Therefore, the LLG approvd AWPB incorporated priorities from the wards.
iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0	1	The investment priorities (maintenance of urban roads, procurement of motorcyle,procurement of gill nets) were seen in the Budget Conference report dated 12/11/2025. Hence the approved investments were outcomes of the Budget Conference.
iv. That the LLG budget includes investments to be financed by the LLG including projects funded using OSR; score 1, or else score 0.	1	Investments in the LLG approved AWPB included; procurement of motorcycle, opening of roads, procurement of gill nets on pages 38 & 12. All projects included in the AWPB are to be financed by the LLG.
v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP IV; score 1, or else score 0.	1	Project Profiles were developed for procurement of a motorcycle and opening of urban roads.
vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May; score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG AWPB for the current FY was submitted and received by the District central registry on 14/May/2026 as per acknowledgement of receipt stamp.

5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	2	LLG procurement plans were submitted and received by PDU on 24/04/2026 as per acknowledgement of receipt stamp.
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	2	Investments in the approved LLG DDEG Budget for the current FY were; opening of urban roads, Investment servicing and monitoring, Support to Parish Chiefs including data collection and support to the LLG nutrition committee. All the investments complied with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant,Budget and Implementation Guidelines.

Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget; score 1 or else score 0.	1	From the AFS for FY 2025/26 on page 34, OSR LLG budget was 49,817,137 Actual OSR collected was 49,817,137 on AFS page 34. Therefore, Percentage of Budgeted ORS collected = (Actual OSR/ OSR budgeted) *100% was (49,817,137 / 49,817,137) x100% = 100% Meaning the LLG OSR performance was as planned.
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	0	OSR from AFS of 2024/25 on page 34 was 56,261,247 OSR from AFS of 2025/2026 was 49,817,137. Increase in the OSR collection was (49,817137-,56,261,247) = -6,444,110/= Percentage decrease was (-6,444,110/56,261,247) x 100%) which was -11%. Hence the OSR collected decreased from previous FY but one to previous FY by 11%.

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	0	The LLG mandatory shares to administrative units were 20% (cells) and 5% (Wards) totaling to 25%. 25% of OSR collected (49,817,247) = 9,963,427 Actual remittance of mandatory share to administrative units as seen from AFS of 2025/26 on page 25 was 3,636,800. Therefore, the LLG remitted a minimum of 3,636,800 to administrative units which was not the mandatory percentage to be remitted to administrative units.
		ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR (that was remitted to the LLG by the HLG) on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	1	Actual Revenue collected FY 2025/26 from AFS page 34 was 49,817,247/= 20% of 49,817,247 = 9,963,427 Payment for Councillors allowances was done on following vouchers Voucher No. Amount 7/9/25 600,000 8/9/25 270,000 8/15/12/25 600,000 9/15/12/25 270,000 14/01/26 60,000 19/5/25 540,000 20/5/26 1,200,000 4/8/26 144,000 11/3/26 270,000 13/3/26 600,000 18/6/26 224,000 Total 4,778,000 The LLG thus did not use more than 20% of OSR on Councillors allowances

		iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	1	The LLG OSR collected for 2025/26 was 49,817,137 as seen on page 34. From AFS 2025/26 on page 46, expenditure on O&M was 17,411,000. Percentage expenditure on O&M was (7,411,000/49,817,137) *100= 35%, Hence the LLG budgeted and spent 35% on O&M.
		iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	1	OSR collection and Usage was publicized on the LLG notice board.

Assessment area: D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e. by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	4	AFS of 2025/26 was submitted to OAG Hoima branch and received on 17/08/2026 as per acknowledgement of receipt stamp.
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer on time: i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	1	Q1 submitted to the LG Accounting officer on 14/10/2025
		ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	1	Q2 submitted to the LG Accounting officeron 09/01/26
		iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	1	Q3 submitted to the LG Accounting officer on 14/4/2026.
		iv. Q4 by 15th July score 3 or else 0	3	Q4 submitted to the LG Accounting officeron 15/7/2026

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: • All staff in the LLG including extension workers (where applicable) in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	2	All the LLG Staff were appraised by 30th June during the previous FY as follows; Kato Jacob was appraised on the 30/06/2026 Manyireki Julius was appraised on the 30/06/2026 Katusiime Phiona was appraised on the 30/06/2026 Akado Everline was appraised on the 30/06/2026 Babihe Devis was appraised on the 30/06/2026.
		• Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	2	Mugisa Stephen headteacher Wanseko P/S was appraised on the 31/12/2025. Primary school head teachers in public primary schools were appraised by 31st December 2025.
		• HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else 0.	2	The LLG didn’t have a health facility and therefore appraisal for the in charge was not necessary or applicable.
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has • Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 2 or else 0	2	Staff list dated 30/06/2026 was publicized on the LLG Noticeboard.
		• Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO as follows; Staff analysis for July 2025 submitted on the 31/7/2025 Staff analysis for August 2025 submitted on the 29/8/2025 Staff analysis for September 2025 submitted on the 29/9/2025 Staff analysis for January 2026 submitted on the 30/01/2026 Staff analysis for June 2026 submitted on the 26/06/2026.
		• CAO/Tc took action on recommendations; score 2 or else 0	0	There was no evidence of recommendations from the CAO on the submitted analysis of staff attendance reports.

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects and activities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects and activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	2	The LLG planned to execute the following projects and activities using DDEG grants in the Previous FY. LLG Approved Budget and Work plan seen with a total DDEG Budget of 12,353,035. Urban Road Maintenance, surveying and Evaluation of land with a budget of 9,882,000 (80%), Support to Parish activities including data collection with a budget of 988,242 (8%), Investment servicing and monitoring with a budget of 1,235,303 (10%) Support to Nutrition Committee with a budget of 247,060 (2%). The LLG spent DDEG funds as per the following expenditure vouchers; Voucher numbers; 18/1/26, 5/11/25 and 16/5/26 totaling to 989,000 for Support to Parish activities including data collection. Voucher numbers; 15/3/26 and 10/12/25 for Urban Road Maintenance, surveying and Evaluation of land. Therefore, the LLG planned and spent DDEG on eligible as per DDEG grant Budget and implementation guidelines.
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2 or else 0	2	Page 13 of Annual Financial Statements submitted to OAG Hoima Branch on indicated that there was a deviation of 0% which was in the range of -/+10% in main programs as follows; The LLG under Human Capital Development as a program planned for 6,000,000 and spent 6,000,000 hence a variation of 0%. The LLG under Governance and security as a program planned for 69,914,054 and spent 69,914,054 with a deviation of 0% The LLG under Integrated Transport and infrastructure services as a program planned for 6,000,000 and spent 6,000,000 with a deviation of 0%.

16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) : Note 3: Refer to the completion rate computation table at the end of the Manual If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	0	There was no clear evidence to ascertain the completion rate of the Planned and implemented investment projects in the Previous FY.

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented Environmental and Social Safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	0	There was no evidence that the LLG conducted Environmental and social screening for road works that were implemented in the Previous FY.
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling Mechanism Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has specified a mechanism for i) recording, ii) investigating and iii) responding to grievances which includes a designated person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints logbook with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices Score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG appointed focal person (Asiimwe Robert Acedo) for grievance management as per appointment letter dated 24/04/2025. The LLG also had a list of Staff constituting the Grievance Management Committee with Asiimwe Robert as the Secretary, Magambo Samuel as the Chairperson and Mwakali Majjellan as a member. Complaints logbook was also seen with 26 cases recorded for the FY.
		If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	1	The LLG Publicized the Grievance Redress mechanism on the LLG Notice board to guide the aggrieved parties on where to report and get redress.

19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	1	Appointment letters for members of the Area Land Committee seen dated 30/5/2025 with Mwijakubi Stephen as the Chairperson, Musa Oscar and Mwesigwa Didasco as members. Minutes of Area Land Committee were seen dated 12/05/2026.

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	Awareness campaigns and parents mobilization for improvement of education services was done in a report dated 12/02/2026 with an objective of mobilizing parents on the importance of education and asking them to take children back to school for first term.
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools (both public and private) at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 – 99% – score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1 Below 60% score 0	4	Awareness campaigns and parents mobilization for improvement of education services was done in a report dated 12/02/2026 with an objective of mobilizing parents on the importance of education and asking them to take children back to school for first term. The Town Clerk monitored schools (both private and public) in the previous three terms evidenced in the schools monitoring reports dated; 28/11/2025 for third term, 22/06/2026 for second term and 10/02/2026 for first term. List of issues in the monitoring reports were discussed in the LLG Councils dated; 30/11/2025 Discussed third term school monitoring report under Minute WTC/CCIL/30/11/2025, 15/5/2026 discussed second term school monitoring report under Minute 8/37/05/2026 and 28/05/2025 discussed first term school monitoring report under MIN05:28/05/2025.

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	3	Appointments letters of school management committee for wanseko primary school seen dated 01/07/2025. Wandera William as Chairperson, Biryema Lilian and Semoga Patrick as members. Minutes of School Management Committee seen as follows; • Wanseko P/S dated; 06/10/2025, 03/06/2025 and 03/03/2025. Minutes submitted and received by DEO's Office as per acknowledgement of receipt stamp. • All minutes had action plans and implementation reports.

Assessment area: I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	Reports of meetings on activities of awareness campaigns and community mobilization for improvement of primary health care seen dated 15/01/2026, and 15/08/2025 authored by Mwakali Majjelan the Health Inspector.
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY Maximum score is 4	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	0	Health service delivery monitoring reports seen dated 27/02/2026 and 27/01/2026. However, Monitoring/supervision reports were not discussed by the Executive Committee of the LLG.
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	3	Not Applicable because the LLG didn't have any Health Facility.

Assessment area: K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines Maximum score 2	If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD; Score 1 or else 0	0	• List of members of the Physical Planning Committee was seen dated 30/07/2025 with Mulebeki Lydia as Secretary, Asiimwe Maxwell as member and Mwakali Majjelani as a member. • Building Plan Registration Book was seen with 9 proprietors submitting in their proposed structures for development. • Physical Planning Committee Minutes seen dated 10/6/2026, 18/3/2026,22/12/2025 and 16/9/2025. The Committee decided on new investments for issuance of Development permits like a commercial building for Bagonza Fred Along Buliisa – Wanseko road, Commercial and rental Houses for Alex Kaijakubi in wanseko cell along Wanseko-Katanga-Kasinyi - Paraa road. These investments were recommended for issuance of development permits. • Physical Planning Committee Minutes were not submitted to MoLHUD.
		If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council. covering at least the percentage below; Score 1 or else 0: 20% in 2022/23 30% in 2023/24 40% in 2024/25 50% in 2025/26	1	• Detailed Physical Plan and approved Action area Plan were in Place.
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines Maximum score 3	If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0	0	• List of new investments like commercial building for Bagonza Fred Along Buliisa – Wanseko road, Commercial and rental Houses for Alex Kaijakubi in wanseko cell along Wanseko-Katanga-Kasinyi - Paraa road. These investments were recommended for issuance of development permits. Though were not issued Planning Compliance certificates.

		Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0	0	• There was no evidence to show that the LLG named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads.
		Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0	1	• LLG organogram was seen dated 03/7/2026. • Staff List for Development Control Team seen with Asiimwe Maxwell as the Chairperson, Mulebeki Lydia as a Secretary and Tumusiime Rogers as a member. • Annual workplan for the development control team seen dated 01/06/2026 • Minutes of the development control team seen dated 01/06/2026 and 31/4/2026. 03 development permits were issued, the team also conducted post approval inspections.
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan Maximum score 2	If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	1	• Approved Solid waste management plan for was seen dated 02/10/2025 for 5 years from 2024-2028. • Status report dated 25/09/2025 on implementation of Solid Waste Management Plan for 2025/26 was also in place.
		If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	1	• The LLG conducted activities of awareness campaign on the management of solid waste in the previous FY as evidenced in a reports dated 25/09/2025 and 25/05/2025 with objectives of promoting proper waste management authored by Mwakali Majellani the Health Inspector.
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure Maximum score is 3	If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report; score 1 or else 0	1	• Annual infrastructure Inventory and conditions survey report seen dated 03/07/2026 submitted to the District Engineer on the same date.
		If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0	0	• O&M Annual workplan based on the Annual infrastructure Inventory and conditions survey report was not seen at the time of assessment.

		If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0	1	• Page 46 of the Final Accounts indicated that 17,411,000/49,817,137was used for operation and maintenance was spent on O&M. This amounted to 35% of OSR collected in the previous FY hence more than 20%.

Assessment area: L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e. crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office; score 2 or else 0.	2	The LLG had two sectors of Veterinary and Fisheries however the Fisheries sector was nonfunctional for the whole FY because the Fisheries Officer was ill and unfortunately lost his life within the FY. Assessment was therefore based on only the Veterinary section. Production statistics report was seen dated 30/02/2026. Report was submitted and received by DPO's office.
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer exchange visits as per reports dated 30/9/2025 and 30/03/2026 with attendance lists attached. The extension staff also created awareness on tick and tick borne diseases as per report dated 30/10/2025. All the reports were submitted and received by DPOs Office.
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office; score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG extension staff implemented monitoring activities on agriculture production for the veterinary section as per reports dated 27/09/2025 for first quarter, 27/12/2025 for second quarter, 27/03/2026 for third quarter and 28/05/2026 for fourth quarter. All the quarterly reports were submitted and received by the DPO's office.

37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	The LLG carried out farmer trainings on pest and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment through field training sessions as evidenced in the farmer training reports dated 30/3/2026, 27/11/2025 and 20/02/2026. All reports had attendance lists attached, submitted and received by District Production office.
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG extension staff provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on animal husbandry, operation and maintenance, value addition and marketing through farmer visits as evidenced in the farmer visits reports for Veterinary section dated 25/09/2025, 20/02/2026 and 30/03/2026 Reports had attendance lists and were submitted to DPO’s office.